

ADDENDUM # 2
TO SPEC. 05-270

Request for Proposals
Landfill Development and Closure Projects
at the Bluff Road Landfill
CIP 569282 and 569343

Proposal receiving date: Wednesday, **November 9, 2005.**

Response to questions received by the Purchasing Department.

Question #1: Is an NPDES permit or permit modification required to construct any of the projects?

Response: ***No. The site has a current NPDES stormwater discharge permit (Permit No. NER000004). Any required modifications of this permit would be made by the City under a separate contract that is not part of this RFP.***

Question #2: Is a permit modification (solid waste permit) going to be required to relocate the storm water pond?

Response: ***No.***

Question #3: Will the City provide guide contract documents for bidding and construction in electronic format to us?

Response: ***The City will provide front end and sample contract documents.***

Question #4: Are there any groundwater monitoring wells or gas monitoring probes that need to be relocated prior to construction of Phase 10/3?

Response: ***Yes. One ground water monitoring well will need to be relocated.***

Question #5: Would the City accept deliverables in any other CAD format besides Bentley Microstation v.8 or above (e.g. AutoCAD 200X)?

Response: ***No.***

Question #6: Are there any improvements needed based on previous construction?

Response: ***No.***

Question #7: Is it possible to obtain public records on previous engineering fees for most recent cell construction and closure projects?

Response: ***Public records are available at the City's website: www.lincoln.ne.gov Online Services, Public Records, City Clerk Documents. If you do not have internet access you may contact the City Clerk office at 441-7438.***

Question #8: Who is current site land surveyor?

Response: ***There is no current site land surveyor for the site. The City has an annual contract with a surveyor to provide needed survey control and checks associated with general or typical day to day landfilling operations. However, the consultant is responsible as noted in Section 4.5.8 of the RFP.***

Question #9: Request of hard copy of documents listed in 3.5.1

Response: ***A copy of these are included on the CD-Rom being made available by request.***

Question #10: Is there a particular weight assigned to each evaluation criteria listed in the RFP?

Response: ***The City's procedure for evaluation is attached, see also response to question 11..***

Question #11: The RFP states that '....Proposals will be reviewed, evaluated and ranked (e.g. 1,2,3) in accordance with the City's selection process and procedure'. Please describe the City's selection process and procedure. Does each committee member rank the proposals individually, with the highest cumulative scoring firms being short listed? Or does the committee arrive at the rankings by consensus? Same for final selection?

Response: ***The selection process and procedures for the City of Lincoln was established by Executive Order. A copy of the Executive Order #68026 is attached. Both method's listed above are used.***

Question #12: Are cover pages and tabs allowed/recommended and should they be on white paper with black ink? Can the document be bound instead of stapled?

Response: ***Section 7.1 of RFP discusses the proposal contents and preparation. The document may be bound in lieu of stapling. If a cover page is used, it will not count in the 24-page limit. Tabs may be used and will not count in the 24-page limit.***

Question #13: Is it acceptable/recommended to provide example products of similar work in the proposal?

Response: ***Yes, please refer to Section 7.4.1.3.6***

Question #14: Are folded 11 x 17 sheets acceptable, such as a proposed Gantt Chart, example work, etc.?

Response: ***Yes***

Question #15: Would it be considered non-responsive to the RFP if alternate designs are proposed that may require a permit modification? Presuming alternative designs may result in construction cost savings and can be approved within given schedule.

Response: ***Yes, it would be considered non-responsive.***

Question #16: Will fees be a consideration for final selection?

Response: ***No, please see section 10.3 of the RFP.***

Question #17: Are wetlands an issue that needs to be addressed during the permitting process of new stormwater detention basin relocation portion of the project?

Response: ***Historical sighting and permitting information reflect a determination from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the USDA Soil Conservation Service that the wetlands shown on the National Wetlands Inventory mapping contain soils that are not hydric and as a result the wetlands are non-jurisdictional.***

Question #18: Does the City have any correspondence related to wetlands with regards to the future stormwater detention basin relocation area shown on Drawings 1 and 2 of 6 of the permit Drawing?

Response: ***Refer to response to Question #17 above.***

Question #19 Is a stormwater management plan available, if so please provide a copy with supporting appendices.

Response: ***A copy of the DRAFT Site Sequencing and Storm Water Management Report is included on the CD - Rom being made available by request.***

Question #20 Requests for a copy of appendices 'F' and 'H' from the Title 132 Permit Application for Bluff Rd. Landfill.

Response: ***A copy of these are included on the CD-Rom being made available by request.***

All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Dated this 31st day of October, 2005.

Purchasing Department,

Mary L. Long
Assistant Purchasing Agent